Program Debugging

SVFIG Aug. 28, 2021 Bill Ragsdale



The Need

Here are fourteen tools to use for testing. I certainly don't use them all every time. Just pick and choose.

Built on Win32Forth.

Draws on 'see', 'view' and 'debug'.

Onward . . .

Fourteen Tools To Success

- 1. Use command line input.
- 2. Write as a definition; test compilation.
- 3. Test from the command line.
- 4. Rewrite the code showing parameters.
- 5. Forth 'see'.
- 6. Forth 'view'.
- 7. Create a data test set.
- 8. Add breakpoints using 'exit'.
- 9. Add _s internally.
- 10. Active test reporting [IF] [ELSE] [THEN].
- 11. Add error trapping using 'abort".
- 12. Integrate testing with a wrapper word.
- 13. Use 'debug', directly or internally.
- 14. Selective compilation: [IF] [ELSE] [THEN].

1. Quick Command Line Test

Let us say I have a new Forth system or have made significant low level changes.

I want to test: + - * /

Quick Command Line Test

Let us say I have a new Forth system or have made significant low level changes.

I want to test: + - * /

Upon any problems, I'll have to review my low level code and debug.

Quick Command Line Test

I want to test: + - * /

Use five integer values and expect to see '40'.



2. Form A Definition



For repeated testing 'math' does the testing of the four math operators.

3. Less Typing; Fewer Errors

For repeated testing 'math' does the testing of the four math operators.

12000 3 200 70 30 math . <enter>



And expect to see: 40

4. Rewrite Showing Stack Values

Still trouble? Add in the stack actions as comments. Helps when you return much later.

- : math (n1 n2 n3 n4 n5 --- n6)
 - \ n1/(n2*(n3-(n4+n5)))

\ n1 n2 n3 n4 n5

- + \ n1 n2 n3 n4+n5
- \ n1 n2 n3-(n4+n5)
- * \ n1 n2*(n3-(n4+n5))
- / ; \ n1/(n2*(n3-(n4+5)))

This well may correct for mental errors on the parameter execution order.

5. See The Compiled Code

Enter: 'see math' and see the definition decompiled from its object code in memory.

see math <enter>

See The Compiled Code

Enter: 'see math' and see the definition decompiled from its object code in memory.

see math <enter>

Is this what we intended?



6. View The Source Code

Enter: 'view math' and see the source code in its file.

view math <enter>

6. View The Source CodeEnter: 'view math' and see the source code in its file.

view math <enter>

00													
34	:	Шă	ath		(n1	n2	n3	n4	n5	 пó)	
35	1	١.	n1	/((n2	2 *()	n3-	(n4·	+n5))))			
36			+		-	¥	1	;					
~ ~													

7. Using A Data Test Set

Create words to support testing.

- : input 12000 3 200 70 30 ;
- : output ." and see " . ;

7. Using A Data Test Set

Create words to support testing.

- : input 12000 3 200 70 30 ;
- : output ." and see " . ;

```
ok
input math output
and see 40 ok
Base: decimal Stack: empty | Floating poin
```

8. A Simple Breakpoint

Use 'exit' to halt execution and '.s' to see the stack contents at the point.

: math
 + cr ." after '-' " .s exit
 * / ;

8. A Simple Breakpoint



data math

data math after '-' [3] 12000 3 100

9. Creative Use of .s

Add '.5' to show the stack contents during execution. This is a substitute for a tracing word like 'debug'.

•	math		cr	.5	
		+	cr	. s	
		-	cr	. s	
		¥	cr	.5	
		1	cr	. s	;

Creative Use of .s



Creative Use of .s



10. Active use of [IF] [THEN]

Make an error report itself with conditional text.

input math dup . 40 = [IF] .(is correct)
 [ELSE] .(is incorrect) [THEN]

40 = [IF] says 'is correct'
 [ELSE] says 'is incorrect'

10. Active use of [IF] [THEN]

input math dup . 40 = [IF] .(is correct)
 [ELSE] .(is incorrect) [THEN]

40 = [IF] says 'is correct'
 [ELSE] says 'is incorrect'



11. Add abort" As Error Test

Insert **'abort**'' with a preceding test. Another form of breakpoint.

: math + - * / dup 40 <>
 cr abort" Expected 40 "
 cr ." Did get 40";

11. Add abort" As Error Test

: math + - * / dup 40 <>
 cr abort" Expected 40 "
 cr ." Did get 40";

```
ok.
input math
Did get 40 ok..

<

Base: decimal Stack: {2} 40 40 ||
```

12. Integrate With A Wrapper

Combine **'input' 'math' 'output'** into a 'wrapper' word. For repeated testing it is easer to type one word.

: xxx input math output ;

12. Integrate With A Wrapper

Combine **'input' 'math' 'output'** into a 'wrapper' word. For repeated testing it is easer to type one word.

: xxx input math output ;



13. Debug Internally

Win32F '**debug**' is powerful. It can trace from direct console input or upon a lower level word used within other words.

- : inner1 input math output ;
- : inner2 inner1 ;
- : inner3 inner2 ;

debug math inner3

13. Debug Internally

- : inner1 input math output ;
- : inner2 inner1 ;
- : inner3 inner2 ;

debug math inner3

```
debug math cr inner3

[5] 12000 3 200 70 30

code + ---> [4] 12000 3 200 100

code - --> [3] 12000 3 100

code * ---> [2] 12000 300

: / ---> [1] 40

code ; --->

and see 40 ok
```

13. Debug Internally



You can use [IF] [ELSE] [THEN] to selectively include tests within a compiled word. test? is an immediate word controlling the following [IF] - - [THEN] to include a 'cr .s' print stack command in the compiled output.

- : math test? [if] cr .s [then]
 - + test? [if] cr .s [then]
 - test? [if] cr .s [then]
 - * test? [if] cr .s [then]
 - / test? [if] cr .s [then] ;

true value test? immediate

- : do-tests true to test? ;
- : no-tests false to test? ;

do-tests

- : math test? [if] cr .s [then]
 - + test? [if] cr .s [then]
 - test? [if] cr .s [then]
 - * test? [if] cr .s [then]
 - / test? [if] cr .s [then] ;

With no-tests, math only



```
ok.
input math
Did get 40 ok..

<

Base: decimal Stack: {2} 40 40 ||
```

With do-tests, showing 'cr .s' diagnostic.



Benefits

Keep a variety of testing and debugging methods in your Forth repertoire.

I used to insert stack dumps and exits at suspected problem points. Now, I mostly use 'debug' for a full word trace.

I took me a couple of years to discover 'debug' as Win32Forth is huge and has limited documentation.

So, see my Win32Forth Guide on Github.



 https://github.com/BillRagsdale/ Forth_Projects

 https://github.com/BillRagsdale/ WIN32Forth-Guide